REMARKS

Claims 2, 4, 6 and 8 have been canceled. Claims 1 and 9-11 have been amended. Claims 3, 5 and 7 remain in their original form.

Accordingly, claims 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9-11 remain for prosecution in the present case.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, for an alleged lack of enablement. Claims 9-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, for being "indefinite."

Claim 1 has been amended to recite the specific polyol component represented by the formula recited in claim 2, thereby obviating the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph.

Claims 10-11 have been amended to clarify that there are two separate resins.

Claim 9 has been amended to recite that the content of polyol component (A) in the ultraviolet-absorbing resin is not less than 10% by weight <u>based on the ultraviolet-absorbing</u> resin.

Accordingly, the rejections made under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112 should be withdrawn.

Katsuhiko et al. (JP 2002-187344)

Claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being "anticipated" by "Katsuhiko et al" (Japanese Publication No. 2002-187344). This rejection is respectfully traversed. Reconsideration and withdrawal thereof are requested.

Application No. 10/562,037 Docket No.: 2224-0251PUS1 Amendment dated: July 19, 2007

Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2007

The Katsuhiko et al. '344 publication is not a prior art document in this case since the

present application has a Japanese priority date of May 2, 2002, prior to the publication date of

July 2, 2002 of the Katsuhiko '344 reference (the Katsuhiko reference was published in

Japanese). If the Examiner so desires, the Applicant can provide an English translation of the

priority document of the present application to evidence the priority date as well as the content of

F-----

the basic application.

The Katsuhiko '344 reference discloses an aqueous resin emulsion which is similar to the

presently claimed emulsion, except that the emulsion is applied to an ink-jet recording and the

ultraviolet-absorbing polyol is a lactone-adduct.

The Katsuhiko '344 reference teaches a "recording resin composition for forming an ink

accepting layer on a base material", as described on page 1 of the Patent Abstract thereof and

further detailed in claims 1-3.

As the polyester polyol having the ultraviolet-absorption group (c) which is used for

improving weather resistance (durability to ultraviolet rays) of the binder resin, the ring-opening

addition polymerization of a lactone represented by the following Formula (3) to an alcohol

represented by the following Formula (2) produces the compound containing an ultraviolet-

absorbable group which is represented by the Formula (1).

Formulas (1), (2) and (3) are shown below:

6

GMM/RCS/imb

Application No. 10/562,037 Amendment dated: July 19, 2007 Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2007

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \text{OH} & \text{OH} & \text{OH} \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-O} & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2 \\ & \text{CH}_2 & \text{CH}_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

 $(R^1 \text{ and } R^2 \text{ represent groups as defined in the Katsuhiko '344 publication, wherein m, m', n and n' are integers.)$

Application No. 10/562,037 Docket No.: 2224-0251PUS1

Amendment dated: July 19, 2007 Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2007

Inokami et al. (USP 2003/0144455 A1)

Claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being "anticipated" by Inokami et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0144455 A1). This rejection is also respectfully traversed. Reconsideration and withdrawal thereof are requested.

The Inokami '455 publication discloses an aqueous emulsion, except for lactone-adducts of an ultraviolet-absorbing polyol, as set forth in claims 1-3 and 9-11.

According to the present invention, however, there is provided an ultraviolet-absorbing resin (1) obtained by reacting a polyester polyol (A) having an ultraviolet-absorbing group, a compound (C) containing an ionic and/or nonionic surface active group, an organic polyisocyanate (D) and, if necessary, a polyol (B), in the presence of an organic solvent(s) if necessary.

The cited reference does not teach or disclose a production method for the aqueous emulsion claimed in the present application, but instead discloses an ultraviolet-absorbing resin (i), wherein the polyester polyol (A) is represented by Formula (1):

Application No. 10/562,037 Docket No.: 2224-0251PUS1

Amendment dated: July 19, 2007 Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2007

Mori et al. (USP 5,922,882)

As pointed out by the Examiner, the Mori '882 reference discloses a bis-

benzotriazolylphenol compound including 2,2'-methylenebis[6-(2H-benzotriazole-2-yl)-4-(2-

hydroxyethyl)phenol; see Col 3, lines 60-61 of the Mori '882 patent. The salient features of the

invention described in the Mori '882 patent are set forth at Col. 2, line 54 through Col. 1, line 23

therein.

Comparison of Claimed Subject Matter With The References

None of the cited references discloses, teaches or suggests the incorporation of the

specific polyol having an ultraviolet-absorbing group into urethane resins in the form of an

aqueous emulsion, as claimed in the present application.

As mentioned above, Katsuhiko et al. '344 is not a prior art document for the present

application because of its date.

Even if Katsuhiko et al. '344 would be considered as constituting effective prior art

against the present application, the Katsuhiko '344 and Inokami '455 references fail to disclose

or teach the aqueous emulsified urethane resin having a polyol component unit in which each of

m and m' is (zero), i.e., a non-lactone adduct ultraviolet-absorbing compound. That is, the

Katsuhiko and Inokami references both use the polyester polyol represented by Formula (1) as

the polyol component.

To the contrary, although Mori et al. '882 discloses 2,2'-methylenebis[6-(2H-

benzotriazole-2-yl)-4-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenol, this compound is not a polyester as is apparent

from the fact that the compound does not include any ester bonds. Furthermore, the Mori

reference is not directed to an aqueous emulsion. Therefore, it is not obvious and could not be

GMM/RCS/jmb

Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2007

predicted by one skilled in the art to use 2,2'-methylenebis[6-(2H-benzotriazole-2-yl)-4-(2-

hydroxyethyl)phenol for the preparation of an aqueous emulsion instead of the polyester polyol

of the Katsuhiko and Inokami references.

According to the present invention, an aqueous urethane emulsion can be obtained

without a lactone-adduct polyol component, although the Katsuhiko and Inokami references

essentially use the lactone-adduct polyester (polyol component), and the Mori reference produces

non-aqueous urethane polymers. Furthermore, since the Katsuhiko and Inokami references use a

polyester, it is difficult to improve the hydrolysis resistance of the polyurethane. Particularly,

since emulsions of these references are aqueous, the performance of the polyurethane

deteriorates inevitably.

To the contrary, the present aqueous emulsion of the polyurethane improves the

hydrolysis resistance even though the polyurethane is aqueous. Furthermore, since a lactone

addition process is unnecessary, the aqueous emulsion can be produced economically by a

shortened production process without deteriorating the performance of the polyurethane.

The Examiner states that the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of

production.

However, in the instant case, the aqueous polyurethane (i.e., product) depends on the

process since polyisocyanates are sensitive to various reactants including water as well as

neutralizing agents having a carboxyl group and the like. Thus, the product (aqueous

polyurethane emulsion) is inevitably defined by the process, if the product is precisely

illustrated.

10

GMM/RCS/jmb

Considering the fact that the cited references do not teach, disclose or even suggest the

presently claimed invention, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections over the prior art

should be withdrawn and this case passed to issue. Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Raymond C. Stewart (Reg. No.

21,066) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: JUL 1 9 2007 Respectfully submitted,

Raymond C. Slewart

Registration No.: 21,066

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Docket No.: 2224-0251PUS1